arXiv:1608.08997v1 [math.AP] 31 Aug 2016

EXISTENCE RESULTS TO ISAACS EQUATIONS WITH LOCAL CONDITIONS AND RELATED SEMILINEAR CAUCHY PROBLEMS

DARIUSZ ZAWISZA

Abstract. Our motivation is to prove existence results for classical solutions to Cauchy problems of Isaacs type with local conditions. Such equations appears naturally for instance in the robust control theory. As a by-product we obtain existence results for some general semilinear Cauchy problems. In the proof we use a fixed point type argument, with an operator which takes advantage of fundamental solutions to linear parabolic equations. We further extend it to include some local conditions by making some approximations and use the Arzell-Ascolli Lemma.

1. Introduction

Our main concern here is to prove some general results concerning a classical solution $(u \in \mathcal{C}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T)) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T])$) to the semilinear Cauchy problem of the type

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \frac{1}{2}Tr(a(x,t)D_x^2 u) + H(D_x u, u, x, t) = 0, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T), \\ u(x,T) = \beta(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Our motivation comes from the fact that equation (1.1) is a natural generalization of the following Isaacs type equation:

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}Tr(a(x,t)D_x^2 u) + \max_{\delta \in D} \min_{\eta \in \Gamma} \Big(i(x,t,\delta,\eta)D_x u + h(x,t,\delta,\eta)u + f(x,t,\delta,\eta) \Big) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$
$$(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T)$$

with terminal condition $u(x,T) = 0$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ are fixed compact sets.

Such equations are very popular in the stochastic game theory and have gained a lot of attraction recently in the robust stochastic optimal control, where they are used to solve optimization problems with the model ambiguity (or the model misspecification). The existence of classical solution is often crucial for determining optimal control/saddle point and to apply numerical methods to solve (1.2). For financial applications of the model ambiguity see for example Branger et al. [2], Hansen et al.[9], Hernandez and Schied [10], Maenhout [14], Schied [17], Tevzadze et al. [18], Zawisza [23] and references therein. For a discussion concerning the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K58,91A15,91A23.

Key words and phrases. Cauchy problem, Hamilton Jacobi Bellman Isaacs equation, robust control, semilinear parabolic equation, stochastic game.

robust control in environmental economics see Roseta-Palma [15], Jasso-Fuentes and Lopez-Barrientos [11], Xepapadeas [20]. In fact they formulate problems in the infinite time horizon setting, but there is no problem in reformulating it in a fixed time framework. Equation (1.2) might be also used as the first step in solving ergodic control problems: for the risk sensitive optimization see Fleming and McEneaney [3] and Zawisza [21] for the consumption - investment problem.

Due to our knowledge the most general results concerning existence of solutions to (1.1) i (1.2) were obtained earlier by Kruzhkov and Olejnik [12], Friedman [8] and Rubio [16]. Kruzkhov and Friedman results works for many Isaacs equation but with trivial second order term ($a = I$). One of our objective is to weaken this assumption. Rubio considered only pure HJB formulation which is not directly applicable to the max-min formulation. In addition, our last result (Theorem 3.3) is strong enough to extend Rubio [16] results to the case when f and β satisfy the exponential growth condition and h is of the linear growth condition. We should also mention here the work of Addona, where some existence results concerning so called mild solutions to equation (1.1) are considered and Fleming and Souganidis [5], where the value function of suitable game is proved to be a viscosity solution to (1.2).

Our paper is structured as follows. First we prove the existence result under assumptions which allows us to apply an approach based on fundamental solutions and fixed point arguments. Further we extend it to allow some local conditions by making some approximations and transforming the equation to the form which enable us to use a stochastic representation. Such type of approximation was used ealier in Zawisza [22] to prove existence result for some infinite horizon control problems. At the end we will focus on the explicit Isaacs equation for the stochastic game formulation.

2. General results

We start with proving the existence theorem under conditions listed in Assumption 1.

Assumption 1.

A1) *The matrix a is symmetric, $a = \sigma\sigma$, coefficients $\sigma_{i,j}(x,t)$, $i,j = 1,2,\ldots,n$ are uniformly bounded, Lipschitz continuous on compact subsets in $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T]$, and Lipschitz continuous in x uniformly with respect to t, in addition there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{i,j}(x,t)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \mu|\xi|^2, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T].$$

A2) *The function β is bounded and uniformly Lipschitz continuous.*

A3) *The function H is Hölder continuous on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}\times[0,T]$. Moreover, let there exists $K>0$ that for all (p,u,x,t), $(\bar{p},\bar{u},x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}\times[0,T]$*

$$\begin{aligned}&|H(p,u,x,t)|\le K\big(1+|u|+|p|\big),\\ &|H(p,u,x,t)-H(\bar{p},\bar{u},x,t)|\le K\big(|u-\bar{u}|+|p-\bar{p}|\big).\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

Let $C_b^{1,0}$ stands for the space of all functions that are continuous, bounded and have the first derivative with respect to x, which is also continuous and bounded. The space is equipped with the family of norms:

$$\|u\|_\kappa:=\sup_{(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T]}e^{-\kappa(T-t)}|u(x,t)|+\sup_{(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T)}e^{-\kappa(T-t)}|D_xu(x,t)|.$$

Note that the space $C_b^{1,0}$ together with $\|\cdot\|_\kappa$ forms a Banach space. If we consider first the linear equation

$$\begin{cases}u_t+\frac{1}{2}Tr(a(x,t)D_x^2u)+f(x,t)=0, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T),\\ u(x,T)=\beta(x), & x\in\mathbb{R}^N,\end{cases}$$

then it is well known (see Friedman [6, Chapter 1, Theorem 12]) that under **A1**, **A2** and for f being bounded and locally Hölder continuous in x uniformly with respect to t, there exists a unique bounded classical solution, which is given by the formula

$$u(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\beta(y)\Gamma(x,t,y,T)dy+\int_t^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Gamma(x,t,y,s)f(y,s)dyds,$$

where $\Gamma(x,t,y,s)$ is the fundamental solution for the problem

$$\Gamma_t+\frac{1}{2}Tr(a(x,t)D_x^2\Gamma)=0.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Gamma(x,t,y,s)dy=1$$

and there exist $c,C>0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}|\Gamma(x,t,y,s)|&\le\frac{C}{(s-t)^{-N/2}}\exp\left(-c\frac{|y-x|^2}{s-t}\right),\\ |D_x\Gamma(x,t,y,s)|&\le\frac{C}{(s-t)^{-(N+1)/2}}\exp\left(-c\frac{|y-x|^2}{s-t}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

(see Friedman [8, Chapter 1, Theorem 11]).

We consider as well the subspace $C_{b,h}^{1,0}$ consisting of all functions belonging to $C_b^{1,0}$ for which the function $D_xu(x,t)$ is locally Hölder continuous in x uniformly with respect to t. Note that the subspace $C_{b,h}^{1,0}$ might not be closed in $\|\cdot\|_\kappa$ and therefore it is not generally a Banach space. We can define the mapping

$$\mathcal{T}u(x,t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\beta(y)\Gamma(x,t,y,T)dy+\int_t^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}H(y,t,u(y,s),D_xu(y,s))\Gamma(x,t,y,s)dyds.$$

Proposition 2.1. *The operator $\mathcal{T}$ maps $C^{1,0}_{b,h}$ into $C^{1,0}_{b,h}$ and there exists $\kappa > 0$ that $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction in $\|\cdot\|_\kappa$.*

Proof. Suppose that the function f is continuous, bounded and Hölder continuous in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N$ uniformly wrt. t. We consider first two functions

$$v_1(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \beta(y)\Gamma(x,t,y,T)dy, \quad v_2(x,t) := \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Gamma(x,t,y,s)f(y,s)dyds.$$

Both are bounded and continuous. Note that from Feynman-Kac

$$v_1(x,t) = \mathbb{E}_{x,t}\beta(X_T), \quad v_2(x,t) := \mathbb{E}_{x,t}\int_t^T f(X_s,s)ds,$$

where $dX_t = \sigma(X_t)dW_t$, $\sigma\sigma = a$ and $\mathbb{E}_{x,t}$ stands for the expectation when the system starts at (x,t).

Standard estimates for diffusion processes (see Friedman [7, Chapter 5,Lemma 3.3]) ensure that $v_1(x,t)$ is globally Lipschitz continuous in x uniformly with respect to t. For the function v_2 we have

$$D_x v_2(x,t) = \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} D_x\Gamma(x,t,y,s)f(y,s)dyds,$$

(see Friedman [6, Chapter 1, Theorem 12]).

From (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}|D_x v_2(x,t)| &\leq \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\frac{C}{(s-t)^{-(N+1)/2}} \exp\left(-c\frac{|z-y|^2}{s-t}\right) f(y,s)dyds \\ &\leq \frac{C\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{c}}||f|| \int_t^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{s-t}}ds = \frac{C\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{c}}||f||\sqrt{T-t}.\end{aligned}$$

Above estimates ensure that the operator $\mathcal{T}$ maps $C^{1,0}_b$ into $C^{1,0}_b$. Moreover, by applying Fleming and Rishel [4, Appendix E, E8) and E9)] we get that $\mathcal{T}$ maps $C^{1,0}_{b,l}$ into $C^{1,0}_{b,l}$. Next two estimates will show that $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction with sufficiently large $\kappa > 0$. There exists a constant $M > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{-\kappa(T-t)}\left|\mathcal{T}u(x,t) - \mathcal{T}v(x,t)\right| \\ &\leq e^{-\kappa(T-t)}Ke^{-\kappa(T-t)}\int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Gamma(x,t,y,s)(|u(y,s)-v(y,s)| + |D_x u(y,s) - D_x v(y,s)|)dyds \\ &\leq e^{-\kappa(T-t)}\|u-v\|_\kappa \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Gamma(x,t,y,s)e^{\kappa(T-s)}dxds \leq \frac{M}{\kappa}\|u-v\|_\kappa.\end{aligned}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e^{-\kappa(T-t)}\big|D_x(\mathcal{T}u(x,t)-\mathcal{T}v(x,t))\big| = \\
&\le e^{-\kappa(T-t)}\int_t^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|H(D_yu(y,s),u(y,s),y,s)-H(D_yv(y,s),v(y,s),y,s)|\,|\Gamma(x,t,y,s)|dyds \\
&\le e^{-\kappa(T-t)}\int_t^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\big(|u(y,s)-v(y,s)|+|D_xu(y,s)-D_xv(y,s)|\big)\frac{C}{s-t}\exp\bigg(-\frac{c(x-y)^2}{2(s-t)}\bigg)dyds \\
&\le \bar{M}e^{\kappa t}\|u-v\|_\kappa\int_t^T\frac{e^{-\kappa s}}{\sqrt{s-t}}ds \\
&\le \bar{M}e^{\kappa t}\|u-v\|_\kappa\bigg(\int_t^T(s-t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}ds\bigg)^{\frac{2}{3}}\bigg(\int_t^T e^{-3\kappa s}ds\bigg)^{\frac{1}{3}} \le \frac{L}{\sqrt[3]{\kappa}}\|u-v\|_\kappa,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{M}$, L depend only on the time horizon T.

□

Theorem 2.2. *Assume all conditions from Assumption 1. Then there exists $u \in \mathcal{C}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T)) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T])$, a solution to (1.1), which in addition is bounded together with its first x – derivative.*

Proof. Repeating the proof of the Banach Theorem we can take any $u_1 \in C^{1,0}_{b,h}$ and construct the sequence $u_{n+1} = \mathcal{T}u_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The mapping $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction and this implies that the sequence u_n is convergent to u, which is a fixed point of $\mathcal{T}$, in $C^{1,0}_b$. Now our aim is to prove that u belongs also to the class $C^{1,0}_{b,h}$. Let us note first that functions u_n and D_xu_n are convergent in $\|\cdot\|_\kappa$ (for κ large enough), hence they are bounded uniformly with respect to n. We can now combine (E8), (E9) from Fleming and Rishel [4, Appendix E] to prove uniform bound on compact subsets for Hölder norm of D_xu_n e. i. there exists λ_N that for all k

$$|D_xu_n(x,t)-D_xu_n(\bar{x},t)| \le L_k|x-\bar{x}|^{\lambda_N}, \quad (x,t),(\bar{x},t) \in B_k \times [0,T]$$

where B_k denotes the close ball with the radius equals k. Passing to the limit yields D_xu is Hölder continuous in x on compact subsets uniformly with respect to t. □

Now we are able to handle with Assumption 2 below

Assumption 2.

B1) *The matrix $a_{i,j}(x,t)$ is Lipschitz continuous on compact subsets in $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T]$, in addition there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x,t)\xi_i\xi_j \ge \mu|\xi|^2, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T].$$

B2) *The function H is Lipschitz continuous in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}\times[0,T]$. Moreover let there exist $K_{m,n}, K>0$, $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$ that for all $x,\bar{x},p,\bar{p}\in\mathbb{R}^N$, $u,\bar{u}\in\mathbb{R}$, $t\in[0,T]$*

$$|H(0,0,t,x)|\leq K, \tag{2.3}$$

$$H(0,u,t,x)-H(0,\bar{u},t,x)\leq K(u-\bar{u}) \quad \textit{if} \quad u>\bar{u}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$|H(0,u,t,x)|\leq K_{m,n}, \quad \textit{if} \quad |u|\leq m,\ |x|\leq n, \tag{2.5}$$

$$|H(p,u,x,t)-H(\bar{p},u,x,t)|\leqslant K_{m,n}|p-\bar{p}| \quad \textit{if} \quad |u|\leq m,\ |x|\leq n. \tag{2.6}$$

B3) *The function β is bounded and Lipschitz continuous on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N$*

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that all conditions of Assumption 2 are satisfied. Then there exists $u\in\mathcal{C}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T))\cap\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T])$, a bounded classical solution to (1.1).*

Proof. Note that $H(p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_n,u,x,t)$, we can rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned} H(p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_n,u,x,t)=&\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{[H^i(p_i,u,x,t)-H^{i-1}(p_{i-1},u,x,t)]}{p_i}p_i\\ &+\frac{[H(0,u,x,t)-H(0,0,x,t)]}{u}u+H(0,0,x,t), \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $H^i(x,t,u,p_i):=H(0,\ldots,0,p_i,\ldots,p_2,p_1,u,x,t)$.

Consider now new Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_{k,l,m}(p,u,x,t):=\xi_k(x)\xi_m(u)\ \xi_l(p)H(p,u,x,t),$$

where

$$\xi_k(z):=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if} \quad |z|\leq k,\\ \left(2-\frac{|z|}{k}\right), & \text{if} \quad k\leq|z|\leq 2k,\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad |z|\geq 2k.\end{cases}$$

We can notice that for fixed $k,m,l\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists $L(k,m,l)>0$ that for all $(p,u,x,t),\ (\bar{p},\bar{u},x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^{2N+1}\times[0,T]$ we have

$$|H_{k,m,l}(p,u,x,t)|\leq L(k,l,m)\big(1+|p|+|u|\big),$$
$$|H_{k,m,l}(p,u,x,t)-H_{k,m,l}(\bar{p},\bar{u},x,t)|\leq L(k,l,m)\big(|u-\bar{u}|+|p-\bar{p}|\big).$$

Suppose that σ is the unique square root of a. Due to Friedman [7, Chapter 6,Lemma 1.1], σ is Lipschitz continuous on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T]$. Define

$$\sigma_k(x,t):=\begin{cases}\sigma(x,t) & \text{if} \quad |x|\leq k,\\ \sigma(\frac{kx}{|x|},t), & \text{if} \quad |x|>k,\end{cases}, \quad a_k:=\sigma_k\sigma_k, \quad \beta_k(x):=\xi_k(x)\beta(x).$$

This yields there exists $u_{k,m,l}\in\mathcal{C}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T))\cap\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T))$ bounded solution to

$$\begin{cases}u_t+\frac{1}{2}Tr(a_k(x,t)D_x^2u)+H_{k,m,l}(D_xu,u,x,t)=0, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T),\\ u(x,T)=\beta_k(x), & x\in\mathbb{R}^N.\end{cases}$$

Our reasoning here is based on Arzell - Ascolli Lemma, so we need to prove some bounds on derivatives for $u_{k,m,l}$.

Taking advantage of (2.7) we can find Borel measurable functions $b_{k,m,l}$, $h_{k,m,l}$ and $f_{k,m,l}$ such that the function $u_{k,m,l}$ is a solution to

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}Tr(a_k(x,t)D_x^2 u) + b_{k,m,l}(x,t)D_x u + h_{k,m,l}(x,t)u + f_{k,m,l}(x,t) = 0, \quad u(x,T) = \beta(x).$$

Namely, let $f_{k,m,l}(x,t) := H_{k,m,l}(x,t,0,0)$ and

$$b^i_{k,m,l}(x,t) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{[H^i_{k,m,l}(u_{x_i}(x,t),u(x,t),x,t) - H^{i-1}_{k,m,l}(u_{x_{i-1}}(x,t),u(x,t),x,t)]}{u_{x_i}(x,t)}, & u_{x_i}(x,t) \neq 0 \\ 0, & u_{x_i}(x,t) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$h_{k,m,l}(x,t) := \begin{cases} \frac{[H_{k,m,l}(x,t,u(x,t),0) - H_{k,m,l}(x,t,0,0)]}{u(x,t)}, & u(x,t) \neq 0 \\ 0, & u(x,t) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$h_{k,m,l}(x,t) \leq K, \quad |f_{k,m,l}(x,t)| \leq K,$$

for all $k,m,l \in \mathbb{N}$, $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T]$.

We can now use the standard Feynman - Kac type theorem to obtain stochastic representation of the form:

$$u_{k,m,l}(x,t) = \mathbb{E}_{x,t}\left(\int_t^T e^{\int_t^s h_{k,m,l}(X_l)\,dl} f_{k,m,l}(X_s)ds + e^{\int_t^T h_{k,m,l}(X_l)\,dl}\beta_k(X_T) \right),$$

where $dX_t = b_{k,m,l}(X_t,t)dt + \sigma_k(X_t,t)dW_t$, $\sigma_k\sigma_k' = a$. The existence of the strong solution to this stochastic differential equation was proved by Veretennikov [19].

Since functions β,$f_{k,m,l}$ are bounded and $h_{k,m,l}$ is bounded from above, then there exists $m^* > 0$ independent of k and m that

$$|u_{k,m,l}(x,t)| \leq m^*.$$

This indicates that $u_{k,l} := u_{k,l,m^*}(x,t)$ is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \frac{1}{2}Tr(a_k(x,t)D_x^2 u) + H_{k,l}(D_x u,u,x,t) = 0, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T), \\ u(x,T) = \beta(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

where $H_{k,l}(p,u,x,t) := \xi_k(x)\xi_l(p)H(p,u,x,t)$.

Repeating the procedure described above we can find find Borel measurable functions $b_{k,l}$, $h_{k,l}$ and $f_{k,l}$ such that the function $u_{k,l}$ is a solution to

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}Tr(a_k(x,t)D_x^2 u) + b_{k,l}(x,t)D_x u + h_{k,l}(x,t)u + f_{k,l}(x,t) = 0, \quad u(x,T) = \beta(x).$$

We still have

$$h_{k,l}(x,t) \leq K, \quad |f_{k,l}(x,t)| \leq K,$$

but for $b_{k,l}$ we need bound which is independent of k,l. To apply Arzell Ascolli Lemma it is sufficient for us to prove such bound on each ball B_n. To get it we consider first any function φ which satisfy uniform Lipschitz condition with constant $L>0$:

$$|\varphi(z)-\varphi(\bar{z})|\leq L|z-\bar{z}|,\quad z,\bar{z}\in\mathbb{R}^N.$$

If we multiply it by ξ_l, then

$$|\xi_l(z)\varphi(z)-\xi_l(\bar{z})\varphi(\bar{z})|\leq\left[\frac{1}{l}\left(2lL+|\varphi(0)|\right)+L\right]|z-\bar{z}|,\quad z,\bar{z}\in\mathbb{R}^N$$

Therefore, using additionally (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|H^i_{k,l}(u_{x_i}(x,t),u(x,t),x,t)-H^{i-1}_{k,l}(u_{x_{i-1}}(x,t),u(x,t),x,t)\right|\\ =&\leq\left[\frac{1}{l}\left(2lK_{m*,n}+|H(0,u,x,t)|\right)+L\right]|u_{x_i}(x,t)|\leq\left[\frac{1}{l}\left(2lK_{m*,n}+K_{m*,n}\right)+K_{m*,n}\right]|u_{x_i}(x,t)|.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the coefficient $b_{k,l}$ is uniformly bounded on B_n for sufficiently large l.

So far we have obtained uniform bounds for $b_{k,l}$, $h_{k,l}$, $f_{k,l}$ on B_n. We proceed further using the followings steps:

(1) Use Lieberman [13, Th. 7.20, Th. 7.22] to get uniform (on the ball B_n) bounds for $W^{2,p}$ Sobolev norms of $u_{k,l}$, $(u_{k,l})_t$ $D_xu_{k,l}$, $D^2u_{k,l}$.
(2) Use Fleming and Rishel [4, Appendix E, E9] to get uniform classical bound for $D_xu_{k,l}$ and its Hölder norm.
(3) Use the fact that $u_{k,l}$ is a solution to

$$\begin{cases}u_t+\frac{1}{2}Tr(a_k(x,t)D^2_xu)+H_{k,l}(D_xu,u,x,t)=0, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T),\\ u(x,T)=\beta(x), & x\in\mathbb{R}^N,\end{cases}$$

and we have uniform bounds for Hö lder norms for $H_{k,l}(D_xu_{k,l},u_{k,l},x,t)$. It is sufficient to apply Fleming and Rishel [4, Appendix E, E10] get uniform classical bounds for the rest suitable derivatives and their Hölder norms.

We can use Arzell - Ascolli Lemma(when passing $k,l\to+\infty$) to deduce that there exists smooth solution to that equation. □

Example 2.4. Our results can be applied for instance to the semilinear Burgers type Cauchy problem of the form

$$\begin{cases}u_t+\frac{1}{2}Tr(\lambda(x)D^2u)+\mu(x)uD_xu=0, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T)\\ u(x,T)=\beta(x) & x\in\mathbb{R}^N.\end{cases}$$

3. Isaacs equation

Now, our primary concern is to solve the following semilinear equation

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}Tr(a(x,t)D_x^2 u) + \max_{\delta\in D}\min_{\eta\in\Gamma}\Big(i(x,t,\delta,\eta)D_x u + h(x,t,\delta,\eta)u + f(x,t,\delta,\eta)\Big) = 0, \quad (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T) \tag{3.1}$$

with the terminal condition $u(x,T)=\beta(x)$.

Assumption 3.

C1) *The matrix $[a_{i,j}(x,t)]$, $i,j=1,2,\dots,N$ is symmetric, coefficients are Lipschitz continuous on compact subsets in $\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T]$, in addition there exists a constant $\mu>0$ that for any $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^N$*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}(x,t)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \mu|\xi|^2, \quad (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T].$$

C2) *Functions f, h, i are continuous, there exists a strictly positive sequence $\{L_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ that for all $\zeta=f,h,i$ and for all $\delta\in D$, $\eta\in\Gamma$, $(x,t)\in B_n\times[0,T]$*

$$|\zeta(x,t,\delta,\eta)-\zeta(\bar{x},\bar{t},\delta,\eta)| \leq L_n(|x-\bar{x}|+|t-\bar{t}|).$$

C3) *The function β is uniformly bounded and Lipschitz continuous on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

C4) *The function f is uniformly bounded and h is bounded from above.*

We can now present an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 3.1. *Under conditions of Assumption 3 there exists $u\in\mathcal{C}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T))\cap\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T])$, a bounded classical solution to* (3.1).

In some cases it is possible to extend the above result to the case when functions f and g might be unbounded. But we need first the following Lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $X(n)$ stands for a strong solution to*

$$dX_t = b_n(X_t,t,\omega)dt + \sigma_n(X_t,t,\omega)dW_t,$$

where b_n and σ_n are sequences of continuous functions such that

$$b_n:\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T]\times\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^N,\ \sigma_n:\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,T]\times\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^N$$

and there exist $K,M>0$ that for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\omega\in\Omega$

$$|b_n(x,t,\omega)|\leq K(1+|x|),\ |\sigma_n(x,t,\omega)|\leq M.$$

Then for each $A > 0$ there exists a continuous function $\hat{R}$ that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T]$

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,t} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} e^{A|X_t(n)|} \leq \hat{R}(x).$$

Proof. If b_n satisfies linear growth condition then there exist $K, M > 0$ that

$$|X_t(n)| \leq |x_0| + KT + K\int_0^t |X_s(n)|ds + \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left|\int_0^t \sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega)dW_s\right|$$

Using the Gronwall inequality we have

$$|X_t(n)| \leq \left(|x_0| + KT + \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left|\int_0^t \sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega)dW_s\right|\right)e^{KT}.$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to find an uniform bound for

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,t} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} e^{A\left|\int_0^t \sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega)dW_s\right|}.$$

Note that $e^{|Z|} \leq e^Z + e^{-Z}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{x,t} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} & e^{A\int_0^t \sigma(X_s(n))dW_s} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x,t} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} e^{A\int_0^t \sigma_n(X_s(n))dW_s - A^2\int_0^t Tr(\sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega)\sigma_n\sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega)ds}\times \\ &\qquad \times e^{A^2\int_0^t Tr(\sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega)\sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega)ds}.\end{aligned}$$

Since σ_n is uniformly bounded, then the process

$$e^{A^2\int_0^t Tr(\sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega)\sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega)ds}$$

is bounded as well. The conclusion follows from the fact that we can use the martingale inequality to find an uniform bound for

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,t} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} e^{A\int_0^t \sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega)dW_s - A^2\int_0^t Tr(\sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega)\sigma_n(X_s(n),s,\omega))ds}.$$

□

Assumption 4.

D1) *The matrix a is symmetric, $a = \sigma\sigma$, coefficients $\sigma_{i,j}(x,t)$, $i,j = 1,\dots,N$ are Lipschitz continuous on compact subsets in $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T]$, in addition there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x,t)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \mu|\xi|^2, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T].$$

D2) *Functions f, h, i are continuous and there exists a strictly positive sequence $\{L_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ that for all $\zeta = f,h,i$ and for all $\delta \in D$, $\eta \in \Gamma$, $(x,t) \in B_n \times [0,T]$*

$$|\zeta(x,t,\delta,\eta) - \zeta(\bar{x},\bar{t},\delta,\eta)| \leq L_n(|x-\bar{x}| + |t-\bar{t}|).$$

D3) *The function β is Lipschitz continuous on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

D4) *There exist $A, B > 0$ that for all $\delta \in \mathcal{D}$, $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$ and for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T]$*

$$|h(x,t,\delta,\eta)| \le B(1+|x|) \quad or \quad h(x,t,\delta,\eta) \le B,$$
$$|f(x,t,\delta,\eta)| + |\beta(x)| \le Be^{A|x|},$$
$$|i(x,t,\delta,\eta)| \le B(1+|x|), \quad |\sigma(x,t)| \le B.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that all conditions of Assumption 4 are satisfied. Then there exists $u \in \mathcal{C}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T)) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T])$, a classical solution to* (3.1).

Proof. Define

$$\sigma_n(x,t) := \begin{cases} \sigma(x,t) & \text{if} \quad |x| \le n, \\ \sigma(\frac{nx}{|x|},t), & \text{if} \quad |x| \ge n, \end{cases} \quad a_n := \sigma_n\sigma_n, \quad \beta_n(x) := \zeta_n(x)\beta(x),$$

$$i_n(x,t,\delta,\eta) := \zeta_n(x)i(x,\delta,\eta), \quad f_n(x,t,\delta,\eta) := \zeta_n(x)f(x,t,\delta,\eta),$$
$$h_n(x,t,\delta,\eta) := \zeta_n(x)h(x,t,\delta,\eta) \text{ or } h_n(x,\delta,\eta) := h(x,\delta,\eta) \text{ (if } h(x,t,\delta,\eta) \le B),$$

where

$$\zeta_n(z) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad |z| \le n, \\ \left(2 - \frac{|z|}{n}\right), & \text{if} \quad n \le |x| \le 2n, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad |z| \ge 2n. \end{cases}$$

Functions a_n, f_n, i_n, h_n, β_n are bounded (or bounded from above in case of h_n) and we still have

$$|h_n(x,t,\delta,\eta)| + |i_n(x,t,\delta,\eta)| \le B(1+|x|) \text{ or } h_n(x,t,\delta,\eta) \le B, \tag{3.2}$$
$$|f_n(x,t,\delta,\eta)| + |\beta_n(x)| \le Be^{A|x|}, \tag{3.3}$$
$$|\sigma_n(x,t)| \le B. \tag{3.4}$$

Let u_n denotes any classical solution to the equation

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}Tr(a_n(x,t)D_x^2u) + \max_{\delta\in D}\min_{\eta\in\Gamma}\Big(i_n(x,t,\delta,\eta)D_xu + h_n(x,t,\delta,\eta)u + f_n(x,t,\delta,\eta)\Big) = 0, \tag{3.5}$$
$$(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T)$$

with terminal condition $u(x,T) = \beta_n(x,T)$. Using measurable selection theorems to min and max in (3.5) we can find Borel measurable coefficients i_n^*, f_n^*, h_n^* that u_n is a solution to

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}Tr(a_n(x,t)D_x^2u_n) + \Big(i_n^*(x,t)D_xu_n + h_n^*(x,t)u_n + f_n^*(x,t)\Big) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

For u_n we have the following stochastic representation

$$u_n(x,t) = \mathbb{E}_{x,t}\left(\int_t^T e^{\int_t^s h_n^*(X_k,k)dk} f_n^*(X_s,s)ds + e^{\int_t^T h_n^*(X_k,k)dk}\beta_n(X_T)\right).$$

Since we have (3.2) – (3.4) we can use Lemma 3.2 to guarantee that there exists a continuous function $R(x)$ that for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0,T]$

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}_{x,t}\left(\int_t^T e^{\int_t^s h_n^*(X_k,k)dk}|f_n^*(X_s,s)|ds + e^{\int_t^T h_n^*(X_k,k)dk}|\beta_n(X_T)|\right) \leq R(x). \tag{3.7}$$

and consequently $\sup_n |u_n(x,t)| \leq R(x)$. Now we can use the reasoning from Theorem 2.3:

(1) Use Lieberman [13, Th. 7.20, 7.22] to get uniform (on the ball B_k)bounds for $W^{2,p}$ Sobolev norms of u_n, u_t $D_x u_n$, $D^2 u_n$.
(2) Use Fleming and Rishel [4, Appendix E, E9] to get uniform classical bound for $D_x u_n$ and its Hölder norm.
(3) Rewrite equation (3.6) into

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}Tr(a_n(x,t)D_x^2 u) + G_n(D_x u, u, x, t) = 0$$

and use the fact we have uniform bounds for Hö lder norms $G_n(D_x u_n, u_n, x, t)$ to apply Fleming and Rishel [4, Appendix E, E9] get uniform classical bounds for the rest suitable derivatives and their Hölder norms.

Above estimates are sufficient to apply the Arzell-Ascolli Lemma on each B_k and get the existence theorem for our equation. □

References

[1] D. Addona, *A semi-linear backward parabolic Cauchy problem with unbounded coefficients of HamiltonJacobiBellman type and applications to optimal control*, Appl. Math Optim. 72 (2014), 1 – 28.

[2] N. Branger, L.S. Larsen, C. Munk, *Robust portfolio choice with ambiguity and learning about return predictability*, J. Bank. Financ. 37(2013), 1397-1411

[3] W. H. Fleming, W. M. McEneaney, *Risk-sensitive control on an infinite time horizon*, SIAM J. Control. Optim. 33 (1995), 1881–1915.

[4] W. H. Fleming, R. W. Rishel, *Deterministic and stochastic optimal control*, Springer, New York (1975).

[5] W. H. Fleming, P. E. Souganidis, *On the existence of value functions of two player, zero sum stochastic differential games*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 38 (1989), 293 – 314.

[6] A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1964.

[7] A. Friedman, *Stochastic differential equations and applications. Vol. 1*, Probability and Mathematical Statistics, Vol. 28. Academic Press , New York-London, 1975.

[8] A. Friedman, *The Cauchy Problem for First Order Partial Differential Equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 23 (1973), 27 – 40.

[9] L. P. Hansen, T. J. Sargent, G. Turmuhambetova, G. Noah *Robust control and model misspecification.* J. Econom. Theory 128 (2006), 45 – 90.

[10] D. Hernandez-Hernandez, A. Schied, *A control approach to robust utility maximization with logarithmic utility and time-consistent penalties*. Stochastic Process. Appl. 117 (2007), 980 – 1000.

[11] H. Jasso-Fuentes, J. D. Lopez-Barrientos, *On the use of stochastic differential games against nature to ergodic control problems with unknown parameters*, Int. J. Control 88 (2015), 897 – 909.

[12] O. A. Oleinik, S. N. Kruzhkov, *Quasilinear parabolic equations of second order with many independent variables*, Russian Math. Surveys, 16 (1961), 105 – 146.

[13] G. M. Lieberman, *Second order parabolic differential equations*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, (1996)

[14] P. Maenhout, *Robust Portfolio Rules and Asset Pricing*. Rev. Financ. Stud. 17 (2004), 951 – 983.

[15] C. Roseta-Palma, A. Xepapadeas, *Robust Control in Water Management*, J. Risk Uncertainty, 29 (2004), 21 – 34.

[16] G. Rubio, *Existence and uniqueness to the Cauchy problem for linear and semilinear parabolic equations with local conditions*, ESAIM: Proc. 31(2011), 73 – 100.

[17] A. Schied, *Robust optimal control for a consumption-investment problem* , Math. Methods. Oper. Res. 67 (2008), 1 – 20.

[18] R. Tevzadze, T. Toronjadze, T. Uzunashvili, *Robust utility maximization for a diffusion market model with misspecified coefficient s*, Finance Stoch. 17 (2013), 535-563

[19] A. Yu. Veretennikov, *On strong solutions and explicit formulas for solutions of stochastic integral equations*, Math. USSR-Sb., 39 (1981), 387-403.

[20] A. Xepapadeas, *Ambiguity and robustness in international pollution control*, in Climate change and common sense: Essays in honour of Tom Schelling , ed. R. W. Hahn, and A. Ulph. Oxford University Press (2012).

[21] D. Zawisza, *Robust Consumption-Investment Problem on Infinite Horizon*, Appl. Math. Opt. 72 (2015), 469 – 491.

[22] D. Zawisza, *Smooth solutions to discounted reward control problems with unbounded discount rate and financial applications*, arXiv:1602.00899.

[23] D. Zawisza, *Target achieving portfolio under model misspecification: quadratic optimization framework* Appl. Math. (Warsaw), 39 (2012), 425 – 443

Dariusz Zawisza,
Institute of Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Jagiellonian University in Krakow
Łojasiewicza 6
30-348 Kraków, Poland
E-mail address: dariusz.zawisza@im.uj.edu.pl